

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road, Cincinnati OH 45241

October 10, 2016 File: 175534018 Revision 0

Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation 3932 U.S. Route 23 P.O. Box 468 Piketon, Ohio 45661

RE: Closure and Post-Closure Plans Clifty Creek CCR Landfill EPA Final Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule Clifty Creek Station Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

#### 1.0 PURPOSE

This letter documents Stantec's certification of the EPA Final CCR Rule closure and post-closure plan for the Clifty Creek Station's CCR Landfill.

#### 2.0 CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE PLAN

The closure plan describes the steps necessary to close the CCR unit at any time during the life of the unit, and is subject to the requirements described in 40 CFR 257.102(b). The post-closure plan describes the monitoring and maintenance activities to be performed during the post-closure period of the unit, and is subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.104(d).

#### 3.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The EPA Final CCR Rule closure and post-closure plan is conceptual and subject to the completion of all necessary environmental reviews. It is therefore subject to change at any time. The attached closure and post-closure plan demonstrates compliance with the requirements set forth in 40 CFR 257.102(b) and 257.104(d).

#### 4.0 QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

I, Stan A. Harris, being a Professional Engineer in good standing in the State of Indiana, do hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

- 1. that the information contained in this certification is prepared in accordance with the accepted practice of engineering;
- 2. that the information contained herein is accurate as of the date of my signature below;



October 10, 2016 Page 2 of 2

- RE: Closure and Post-Closure Plans Clifty Creek CCR Landfill EPA Final Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule Clifty Creek Station Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana
  - 3. that the closure plan for the Clifty Creek Station's CCR Landfill meets the requirements described in 40 CFR 257.102(b); and
  - 4. that the post-closure plan for the Clifty Creek Station's CCR Landfill meets the requirements of 40 CFR 257.104(d).

SIGNATURE

ADDRESS: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road Cincinnati, OH 45241

TELEPHONE: (513) 842-8200

ATTACHMENT: Clifty Creek CCR Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Plans



DATE 10/10/16

# **Closure Plan**

CFR 257.102(b)

**CCR** Landfill

**Clifty Creek Station** 

Madison, Indiana

October 2016

Prepared by: Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation

3932 U.S. Route 23

Piketon, OH 45661



#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	OBJECTIVE	1
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF THE CCR UNIT	1
3.0	DESCRIPTION OF CLOSURE PLAN - 257.102(B)(1)(i)	1
4.0	CLOSURE IN PLACE - 257.102(B)(1)(iii)	1
5.0	CLOSURE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS - 257.102 (d)(1)	2
6.0	DRAINING AND STABILIZING OF THE SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT - 257.102(d)(2)	2
7.0	FINAL COVER SYSTEM - 257.102(d)(3)	3
8.0	ESTIMATE OF MAXIMUM CCR VOLUME - 257.102(B)(1)(iv)	3
9.0	ESTIMATE OF LARGEST AREA OF CCR REQUIRING COVER - 257.102(b)(1)(v)	3
10.0	CLOSURE SCHEDULE - 257.102(b)(1)(vi)	3

#### **Attachments**

Attachment A – Closure Plan submitted to Indiana Department of Environmental Management

Attachment B – Permit reference drawings

#### 1.0 OBJECTIVE

This report has been prepared to fulfill the requirements of 40 CFR 257.102(b) of the Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule to develop a Closure Plan for the Clifty Creek CCR Landfill.

#### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE CCR UNIT

The Clifty Creek Station is located on the north bank of the Ohio River near Madison, Indiana and consists of six coal-fired electric generating units; each nominally rated at 217 megawatts, that began producing electricity in 1955 to support the Department of Energy's (DOE's) Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant located near Piketon, Ohio. The Clifty Creek CCR Landfill is located approximately one mile west of the Station building.

The landfill is owned and operated by the Indian-Kentucky Electric Corporation, and has been permitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) as a Type I Restricted Waste Landfill, Permit No. FP 39-04, to accept CCR generated by the Clifty Creek Station. The landfill's leachate discharge is managed under the site's NPDES permit. The landfill facility is comprised of 109 acres of disposal area, and approximately 13 million cubic yards of air space. It is designed to be constructed in four phases.

#### 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF CLOSURE PLAN 257.102(b)(1)(i)

#### [A narrative description of how the CCR unit will be closed in accordance with this section.]

The Clifty Creek Landfill will be closed in accordance with the closure plan that was developed and submitted as part of the permit application process with IDEM, as well as in accordance with 257.102(d). Once final waste grades are achieved, the landfill surface will be covered with a minimum two-foot thick infiltration layer with a permeability of no greater than 1x10-5 cm/sec, and soil layer that is six inches thick to support native plant growth. A copy of the landfill's approved closure plan is included in Attachment A.

#### 4.0 CLOSURE IN PLACE 257.102(b)(1)(iii)

# [If closure of the CCR unit will be accomplished by leaving the CCR in place, a description of the final cover system, designed in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section, and the methods and procedures to be used to install the final cover.]

The final cover system will be designed and constructed in accordance with the requirements of 257.102(d), and consist of a minimum two-foot thick compacted soil layer with a permeability of no greater than  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec, which will be placed directly over the graded CCR material. An additional soil layer that is six inches thick, capable of supporting native plant growth will be placed over the compacted soil system. The final cover will be graded to promote surface water runoff, and then seeded and mulched to promote growth of the vegetative cover. The final cover slope will be a minimum of 2% and will convey surface water to a NPDES-permitted outfall.

#### 5.0 CLOSURE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS 257.102(d)(1)

#### 5.1 SECTION 257.102(d)(1)(i)

[Control, minimize or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, post-closure infiltration of liquids into the waste and releases of CCR, leachate, or contaminated.]

The final cover system will cover the CCR material and will have a permeability that is less than or equal to the permeability of the natural subsoils and no greater than  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec.

#### 5.2 SECTION 257.102(d)(1)(ii)

#### [Preclude the probability of future impoundment of water, sediment, or slurry.]

The CCR landfill will be graded to a minimum slope of 2% to prevent the ponding of surface water. Surface water drainage features consisting of run-on and run-off controls and sedimentation ponds will be installed per the approved design and managed through the sites surface water management plan.

#### 5.3 SECTION 257.102(d)(1)(iii)

# [Include the measures that provide for major slope stability to prevent the sloughing or movement of the final cover system during the closure and post-closure care period.]

The final cover system will be gently graded with a minimum slope of 2%. The final configuration of the landfill will meet the stability requirements necessary to prevent the sloughing or movement of the final cover system during the closure and post-closure care period.

#### 5.4 <u>SECTION 257.102(d)(1)(iv)</u>

[Minimize the need for further maintenance of the CCR unit.]

The CCR landfill will be vegetated to prevent erosion. Maintenance of the final cover system will include mowing.

#### 5.5 <u>SECTION 257.102(d)(1)(v)</u>

# [Be completed in the shortest amount of time consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices.]

The landfill will be closed in a time frame consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices. There is currently no schedule for closure of this CCR unit.

#### 6.0 DRAINING AND STABILIZING OF THE SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT 257.102(d)(2)

[The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must meet the requirements of paragraph (d)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section prior to installing the final cover system required under paragraph (d)(3) of this section.]

This section is not applicable to a CCR landfill.

#### 7.0 FINAL COVER SYSTEM 257.102(d)(3)

[If a CCR unit is closed by leaving the CCR in place, the owner or operator must install a final cover system that is designed to minimize infiltration and erosion, and at a minimum, meets the requirements of paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section, or the requirements of the alternative final cover system specified in paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section.

The final cover system must be designed and constructed to meet the criteria in paragraphs (d)(3)(i)(A) through (D) of this section. The design of the final cover system must be included in the written closure plan.]

The final cover system will consist of a minimum two-foot thick compacted soil layer, with a permeability of no more than 1x10-5 cm/sec, which will be placed directly over the graded CCR material. An additional soil layer that is six inches thick, capable of supporting native plant growth will be placed over the compacted soil system. The final cover will be graded to promote surface water runoff, and then seeded and mulched to promote growth of the vegetative cover. The final cover slope will be a minimum of 2% and to accommodate settling and subsidence and will convey surface water to a NPDES-permitted outfall.

#### 8.0 ESTIMATE OF MAXIMUM CCR VOLUME 257.102(b)(1)(iv)

#### [An estimate of the maximum inventory of CCR ever on-site over the active life of the CCR unit.]

The estimated maximum amount of CCR to ever be on-site is approximately 13 million cubic yards.

#### 9.0 ESTIMATE OF LARGEST AREA OF CCR REQUIRING COVER 257.102(b)(1)(v)

#### [An estimate of the largest area of CCR unit ever requiring a final cover.]

The CCR landfill will be closed in phases as the landfill reaches final waste elevation grades. The largest area of the CCR unit that may require a final cover is approximately 109 acres.

#### 10.0 CLOSURE SCHEDULE 257.102(b)(1)(vi)

[A schedule for collecting all activities necessary to satisfy the closure criteria in the section, including an estimate of the year in which all closure activities for the CCR unit will be completed. The schedule should provide sufficient information to describe the steps that will be taken to close the CCR unit, including identification of major milestones such as coordinating with and obtaining necessary approvals and permits from other agencies, the dewatering and stabilization of the CCR surface impoundment closure, or installation of the final cover system, and the estimated timeframes to complete each step or phase of the CCR unit closure.]

The landfill will be closed in phases as it reaches final waste placement elevations. The closure schedule will be based on disposal rates into the landfill. At this time, there are currently no projected time frames on when the closure phases will occur. Required notifications and schedules will be submitted to the IDEM in manner in which satisfies this section.

Attachment A

#### Attachment 17 Closure Plan Coal Ash Landfill Type I Restricted Waste Landfill Clifty Creek Power Plant Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

The closure plan considers the function and design of the final cover with respect to the following items:

- Type and amount of by-product;
- Proposed cell geometry;
- Cover soil characteristics;
- Sequence of filling and closure operations;
- Labor, materials and testing required for landfill closure;
- Closure cost estimate; and
- Schedule.

#### Type and Amount of By-product

Based on expected by-product generation values provided by AEP, approximately 1,236 tons per day (tpd) of FGD (gypsum), 297 tpd of boiler slag, 445 tpd of fly ash and 142 tpd of sludge will be generated. The proposed landfill is estimated to provide 20 years of design life.

#### **Proposed Cell Geometry**

The embankment slopes were designed to provide positive drainage while maintaining slope stability. Perimeter grading includes maximum final side slopes of 4H:1V with slope drainage berms at approximate 40-foot elevation intervals. The diversion berms collect storm water from the side slopes and discharge to reinforced surface ditches on slopes or directly to perimeter run-off ditches. The top of the landfill has a minimum constructed slope of 10 percent. The final grading plan is shown on Drawing No. 16-30500-09.

A working surface slope of at least two percent during by-product placement will be maintained to control surface ponding and facilitate surface drainage. The maximum slope of the working face is 4H:1V. Temporary diversion berms will be constructed, where necessary, along the top of the working face to reduce sheet flow and associated erosion across recently placed by-product. Drawing No. 16-30500-28 shows typical surface water controls for a working cell.

#### **Cover Soil Characteristics**

The cover soil will consist of fine-grained plastic materials capable of supporting vegetation. The final landfill geometry dictates final soil cover thickness. Soil cover thicknesses will range from a minimum 24-inch thick compacted soil component for side slopes less than 15 percent to a maximum 36-inch thick compacted soil component for the 4H:1V slopes. Six inches of topsoil will be placed on top of the compacted soil to support vegetation growth. After the final cover soils have been placed, a vegetation program will be implemented in accordance with the Construction Quality Control Plan (Attachment 21) to stabilize the cover soils and control erosion. This program will be implemented as soon as weather permits seed bed preparation, and when seasonal conditions are suitable for the type of vegetation to be used. Once vegetation is established, expected soil erosion is less than five tons per acre. See Appendix B for support calculations.

#### Sequence of Filling and Closure Operations

For planning purposes, the landfill is divided into four phases, each with approximately five years of capacity. The filling sequence was designed based on several factors, including interim and final surface water controls and ease of operation. Landfill construction will begin with establishing a system of run-on diversion ditches to redirect storm water around the construction and operation area. This will facilitate structural fill placement, liner construction and leachate collection system construction. Operation of the landfill will generally progress from the east to the west. This construction sequence will direct most of the first phase's storm water to the west boiler slag pond while the water from subsequent phases will be directed to the remaining fly ash pond.

Filling is designed to begin along the northeast end of the site, nearest the plant, and will generally proceed to the southwest end of the facility. Drawing Nos. 16-30500-20 and 21 show the conceptual filling sequence. Due to the size of the facility, it is expected that a continuous cycle of cell construction, cell operation and placement of final cover soil will be implemented. The filling sequence has been designed to reduce the surface area of the working face that must remain open at the end of a phase, while waiting for the next phase to "piggyback" onto it. Other than this working face, the remainder of a phase or unit will receive final cover after the final elevation is achieved or within 15 days of receiving its final waste volume.

Geotechnical instrumentation will be installed within the hydraulically placed fly ash and foundation soils beneath the landfill. The instrumentation will be used to measure pore pressures during placement of structural fill and by-product. Based on the geotechnical data obtained during design, excess pore pressures are not expected. However, if excess pore pressures are measured, cell construction and filling sequences will be adjusted to permit pore pressure dissipation and maintain structural integrity.

#### Labor, Materials and Testing

Table 1 presents a list of items necessary to facilitate landfill closure.

ltem	Quantity	Units
Final Cover Soil	3,920	Cubic yards per acre (average over entire landfill)
Topsoil	807	Cubic yards per acre (average over entire landfill)
Dump truck and Operator	As required	
Loader and Operator	As required	
Dozer and Operator	As required	-
Sheepsfoot roller and Operator	As required	-
Density Testing (Equipment and Labor)	1	Test per 10,000 cubic yards
Mulch	1.5	Tons per acre
Seed	As required by grass species	Pounds per acre
Rock / Filter Fabric for Ditch Construction	As required	-

#### Table 1. Items Necessary for Closure

#### Schedule

The landfill is expected to begin operation in 2010 and the estimated year of final closure is 2030. The closure date is subject to change based on actual by-product production and sale of by-product as beneficial re-use. Final cover will be placed annually on areas that reach final grade. Table 2 provides the estimated open surface area for each year of landfill operation.

End of	Open Surface Area	Length of Surface
Operation Year	(Acres)	Run-off Ditch (Feet)
2010	10.5	4,660
2011	6.4	1,010
2012	7.2	700
2013	6.7	690
2014	4.7	670
2015	5.6	560
2016	3.4	380
2017	5.4	510
2018	4.3	440
2019	4.2	630
2020	5.7	440
2021	6.7	620
2022	4.4	320
2023	3.7	490

#### Table 2. Estimated Open Surface Area

J:clerkcal05proj/CN2005088/Permittattachment 17.doc

End of	Open Surface Area	Length of Surface
Operation Year	(Acres)	Run-off Ditch (Feet)
2024	5.2	570
2025	4.6	410
2026	5.3	770
2027	4.4	360
2028	5.3	450
2029	5.0	520
2030	13.3	1,680

#### Table 2. Estimated Open Surface Area

The analysis indicates year 2030 will have the maximum area requiring closure. It is anticipated that closure under those conditions should be completed within approximately 20 weeks after initiation of closure related activities by a third-party contractor.

#### **Closure Cost Estimate**

The closure cost estimate for the proposed landfill modification at the Clifty Creek Power Plant includes placement of the 24 to 36-inch thick soil cover over the finished by-product surface. The final surface grades of the landfill will be contoured to divert surface run-off from the proposed embankment and drainage swales will be added as necessary. The soil cover will be graded to match the underlying waste by-product contours. Following placement, the final cover will be seeded and fertilized to stabilize the cover soils with vegetation and reduce the potential for erosion.

The estimated closure cost opinion assumes a third party would be retained to close the landfill in accordance with the provisions of this closure plan and other details provided in this application and in Title 329 IAC Article 10, Rule 30. The estimated cost also assumes the landfill embankment utilizes the entire facility closure standard as contained in 329 IAC 10-39-2(b)(3)(A). Table 3 summarizes the closure cost opinion for year 2030 which represents the greatest estimated cost in any given year during operation of the landfill. A more detailed breakdown of the cost estimates is presented in Appendix A.

Item	Estimated Cost Per Acre for Year 2030	Total Cost Opinion
Final Cover and Vegetation	\$ 68,280	\$ 908,124
Surface Ditches	\$ 16,280	\$ 216,524
Final Cover Certifications	\$ 198	\$ 2,633
Subtotal Closure Cost	\$ 84,758	\$ 1,127,281
Contingency (10%)	\$ 8,476	\$ 112,728
Total Closure Cost Opinion	\$ 93,234	\$ 1,240,009

#### Table 3. Cost Opinion Summary

Note: All costs expressed in terms of 2006 dollars.

In accordance with the provisions of 329 IAC 10-30-7(a)(2), AEP will record a notice on the deed that shall in perpetuity notify any potential purchaser of the landfill property that the land has been used as a solid waste landfill facility.

#### **Engineer Certification**

I certify that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the persons who managed the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate, and complete.

m m 2) united Date: 12-13-06 Signature:

Name: Dan M. Durrett, P.E. Senior Project Engineer

Address:

Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott and May Engineers, Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45241

Telephone No.: 513/842-8250

Professional Engineer Registration No.: 10606295

# Appendix A

Opinion of Closure Costs

	Total Sum	82					
	10% Contingency		- 45				
	Total Price Estimate		\$65,880	\$2,400 \$68,280	\$168,000 \$12,632	\$3,648	\$16,280
	Unit Quantity		5,490	1 - Per Acre	1,680 - Per Acre	304	- Per Acre
	Cost per Unit		- 812	\$2,400 1 Final Cover and Vegetation - Per Acre	F \$100 1,680 Subtotal Run-off Surface Ditches - Per Acre	\$12	Surface Ditches - Per Acre
·	Unit of Payment		CY / Acre	Acre Fina	LF Subtotal I	CY / Acre	
	ltern	Facility Name:Clifty Creek Coal Ash LandfillFacility Location:Madison, IndianaFacility County:JeffersonTotal Waste Fill Acreage:109Total Grading Acreage:161Acres Required for Closure2030Acres Required for Closure:13.3	<ul> <li>A. Final Cover and Vegetation</li> <li>1) Material Acquisition, Placement and Compaction</li> <li>Material will be imported from off-site.</li> <li>quantity includes cover soil, final grading and 6-inches of topsoil.</li> </ul>	2) Seeding and Mulching	<ul> <li>B. Surface Ditches</li> <li>1) Run-off Surface Ditches</li> <li>Total estimated linear footage divided by estimated exposed acreage</li> </ul>	2) Diversion Berms	e 2:

Attachment 17 Opinion of Closure Costs Coal Ash Landfill Clifty Creek Plant American Electric Power Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana 11/8/2006 1:\05Proj\CN2005088\Permit Application Info\Attachment 17 - Closure Plan\Closure Cost Opinion.xls

	Unit of		llait	Total Drice	10%	
Item	Pavment	Cost per Unit	Quantity	Fefimate	Contingency	Total Sum
C. Final Cover Certification			Automa	Foundate	connigency	I DIAI SUITI
<ol> <li>Soil Samples taken every 10,000 CY</li> <li>Soil Samples taken every 10,000 CY (total -Assume 1 soil sample obtained every 10,000 CY (total estimated samples: 8), each sample and field observations take technician one 8 hour day (includes travel time, site</li> </ol>	Hour	\$55	ω	\$440	3	
examination, iteld reports) 2) -1 set of Atterberg Limits, Specific Gravity, sieve and hidrometor toot accounts	set of tests	\$175	Ø	\$1,400		
<ul> <li>3) -Clerical services: 1/2 hour per visit</li> <li>4) -Project Engineer to review work: 1 hour per visit</li> </ul>	Hours Hours	\$50 \$75	48	\$200 \$600		
-	Quality Co ity Control and C	Quality Control and Construction Certification Quality Control and Construction Certification - Per Acre	Certification n - Per Acre	\$2,640 \$198		
	Total Opinion o	Total Opinion of Closure Cost Estimate - Per Acre	e - Per Acre	\$84,758	\$8,476	10(al Sull) \$93,234
Total Opinic	n of Closure Cos	Total Opinion of Closure Cost Estimate - Per Acre in Year 2030	n Year 2030	\$93,234		
D. Other Closure Costs 1) -Notation of Property Deed	S	\$500.00	ال <sub>ـــ</sub>	\$500		
		Notation of Property Deed	operty Deed	\$500	\$50	\$550
Notes: 1. The unit price opinion for final cover and vegetation and diversion berms is based on current off-site soil borrow contract pricing provided by AEP. 2. The unit price opinion for final site grading was obtained from RS Means "Heavy Construction Cost Data" 2002 item 02310-440-3310 - Finish grading on ste 3. The unit price opinion for seeding and mulching was obtained from RS Means "Heavy Construction Cost Data" 2002 item 02900-340-2400 - Hydro Seeding. 4. The unit price opinion for run-off surface ditches is based on FMSM experience. 5. CQAVCQC costs are based upon FMSM listed prices and include a technician obtaining one cover soil sample approximately every 10,000 CY for classifical	on current off-siti onstruction Cosi avy Constructior tining one cover	n berms is based on current off-site soil borrow contract pricing provided by AEP. Means "Heavy Construction Cost Data" 2002 item 02310-440-3310 - Finish grading on steep slopes. m RS Means "Heavy Construction Cost Data" 2002 item 02900-340-2400 - Hydro Seeding. SM experience.	oricing provide 0-440-3310 - F 02900-340-24 10,0	d by AEP. inish grading on 00 - Hydro Seedi 00 CY for classif	steep slopes. ing. fication testing.	
ð						
2 of 2	-	\05Proi\CN2005088\Pe	ermit Annlicatio	n Info\Attachme	nt 17 - Closure Plant	11/8/2006 (1/8/2006) 11/8/2006 متنقبض بانع

Attachment 17 Opinion of Closure Costs Coal Ash Landfill Clifty Creek Plant

if og

Ē.

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

11/8/2006 I:\05Proj\CN2005088\Permit Application Info\Attachment 17 - Closure Plan\Closure Cost Opinion.xls

8

2

## Appendix B

Erosion Support Calculations

Project CN 2005088- CLEFTY (REEK Fuller Mossbarger Scott & Sheet No. \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_ Scale \_\_\_\_\_ ENGINEERS Calculated By \_\_\_\_\_\_ DPC\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_\_7-06 Checked By \_\_\_\_\_\_ DMD \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_1-14-06 Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott and May Engineers, Inc. ANNUAC TONS ACRE/GEAR FROSTON CATE RUSLEZ UTTE PROGRAM DEVELOPEID NATIONAL RESOURCE LONSERVATION SERVICE NZOSD STE D 1: SECECT LOCATION DATA FELE TOR PUSLEZ JEFFERSON CUMIL TAUTINA A105 CONDED FROM EUSLEZ FTP SEVE SELECT SOIL TUDE " P 2." PETO PROTECT STEAT FTATIONS A UNREFIG THE ET OF SOILS ARE ACCEPTABLE TOR FILLE FOLER THE MODEL WITCH 1000 Por al EBCH OF THES Sords : Mil, ec. Mc St, SMISC MA CHEL Steer Fred Some AP- Ja THE USES CLAESERERATION SUSTEM. RUSCER REQUERTED USDA SASTEM. CONVERT TO TAIE C33014 47.4 SUP - REMPOSED TEXTURAL TREAMSLE A USDA 2505 (SEDKAD Y DESTON MANUAL SECTURCAY C14 + SLAL OR. = CCAYLOAM, STOTO CERCY LOAM, COMM, OR STOTOAM 14 MIT NA SELT, STET LOAM MLF SANDER CEAST, SANDER ECASE LOAN St CEMA = COMM, STET GOAM-

E	NG	INE	EI	RS							Cal				2 D								13	-06	
ller, Moss	barger,	Scott	and	May	Eng	ineei	s, In	c.																4-0	-
STE	23		Se	7	-	sda	s po			70,	با شم	12	> 47		X	1	-						1		_
		25	- 5/2		see	Dip.	65	,	4		5	20	12	2	-	dr	,		ila:	21	45				-
		AF	27	¢.	č	=Ue	12	c,	1	150	21		-		-	-									
STE 7-	6/12		50	6.0			Ž	203		-	n1	ah.	A.		14	:		+	-				-	-	
			-					_	-					_		-						-	-		
	- Le	EIZ ER						-			NS EZ	- un -		10000		1.000	5 M	20	<u>k</u>	K	E	S	de e	120	<u>=</u> ]
	••••	ti d	t î		Z	0	Z	Ni	20	7	9	re	10-	-		100	11	Īe	- 17	r	L .	e di	- C	5	
		II X	7 20	1 12		-	A	12.6			520	et-c	2	2- 7	Gari	<u>}</u>					-				
	= F	-ize	302	7	Ĩe	20	50	4	-	2	-	2	E	-6	c	1		Djè	1-1	0	h	E	oje	>	
	- D	ēh	25 6		5	21	205	7	N	05		44	5	VE	52	14	D	r/							
TED	1B:	i	1/1-	2																					
TEP "	41:	A	> -	- 100	Ļ				1-		111		-	7		5	- C	-	5	1					
			_							_		_							f		·				
			K									C.L.	T. C.	22	2		7								-
		-	12	0							Ċ	10	Albert	Junk	6		0								
ENS		 				1	27	7 14		-	5		7-											_	
-	- 6	AF.	00	12.2	-n-	1	-	De	5. 1E	20	EC	7	0	ŝN	10	U	52	N	ĩ.,	n	or.	Ro	as	Sie	zs
		Ede													34	1.54	2	ij g							
_			0		-			1											_	2	,		~	2.De	
			SE				0	n	_//	F	25	R	U.	50	E	21	Se	ar	2	-1	0	4	10 M	1,200	
-												* #1 LY													

Fuller Mossborger Scott & May	Project	6112005088		
	Sheet No.	3 of 4	Scale .	
ENGINEERS	Calculated By	DRG	Date .	11-13-06
Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott and May Engineers, Inc.	Checked By_	DMD	Date _	1-14-06
RESULTS				
SOTL TYPE COULD SOTL TYPES	VAICY	CHECK	400	ABOST ZARE
SOTA TUNE		Aluna in	6 -0	170NS/Ac/42)
Cary ( ) THAN 50% CCAY)		•	1,3	( ) may que)
King (1-11 OM, < THAN 50% CC.	rt g )		1.3	
CLAY (M-A OM) < THAN SOTOCI			1.Z	
SECTY COAG ( < THAN SOL COAY) TELTY COAG ( M OM & THAN :			1.1	
+ELTY COAL ( AM ON, < THAN :	50% CRAG)_		1.3	
TLYY LEAG CM-h OM, < THAN S	50% ccAq)		1.1	
cangeoon (h. pm)			0.98	
CRAY COAM (I-MOM) CRAY COAM (I-MOM, U. SIQ DETERM			1.3	
CEAG LONNY (M-D OM)	P		15	
ecny conn Amh am Uslo intitu			1.3	
SENGLEND JOAM (SEL)				
sec (hom)			1.1	
Sec (1-man)			1.5	
SCC (1-M OM V SLO MERM)			1.7	*
See ( m h om)			1.3	
SCL (m.h. am, 37/2)			1.5	
SCC (m-1 only 1. ScopeRm)			1.5	
onm (h-om)			6.95	
enm (1-mon)			1.3	
com (1-m om u sco Perin)			1.5	
m (m-h OM V. SLO PERZA)			1.1	
ELT LOAM (h-BM)			1.3	
tercorm (Amom)			1.4	
ECT LOAM (1-M OM . SO DERM)			1.7	*
I TOT LOAM COST BAD & STO DERM			1 41	

		4 of 4	New York and the second s
	Calculated By_	IPC,	_ Date 3 -0.6
er, Mossbarger, Scott and May Engineers, Inc.	Checked By	DMD	_ Date H06
Sate Type con 7		Ann	UNC SOTE ER PR
			170×5/AC/4
STRT LOAM (M-h ON)			12
SANDY CLAY COAM (SACC) (A SACL (1-M OM)	-qm		0.96
SACC ( 1-M ONT 1. SLOW DETEM)			1.3
SALL (M-h OW)			
add (m. hom & scow Perm)			1.3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ATOMEST ANNUAR SOEL	EROSTO	SW RATE	= 1.7 TONS/HOPESTE
PER TOEN REAS, ANNUR	40 Sare	ETROSTON	EATE
NOST BE LESS THAN		Acre Aure	
		THE PAR	
1.7 JONS/ACTE/ST	2 5 5	TONS/ACIRE/2012	OK
┉╫╍┉╿╼╺╫┥╍╸┝╍┉┠╸┈┠╸╍┠╺╌╊╍╌┠┉┥┼╍╌┠╍╍┠╍			
╶╢╼╞╼╎╼┼╾╫┈┠┉╟╍┼╾╟╼┝╼╟╶╢			

a)



# RUSLE2 Erosion Calculation Record

Info:

profiles/CN2005088\_11.14.06

Soil: silty clay loam (low-mod OM,v. slow perm) Slope length (horiz): 150 ft Avg. slope steepness: 25 % Contouring: c. perfect contouring no row grade Strips/barriers: (none) Diversion/terrace, sediment basin: 1 hillside ditch 3% grade at bottom of RUSLE slope Location: Indiana/Jefferson County Inputs:

Base management: Dense grass; not harvested

Outputs: T value: 3.0 t/ac/yr Soil loss for cons. plan: 1.7 t/ac/yr

Attachment B





# **Post-closure Plan**

CFR 257.104(d)

**CCR** Landfill

**Clifty Creek Station** 

Madison, Indiana

October 2016

Prepared by: Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation

3932 U.S. Route 23

Piketon, OH 45661



### Table of Contents

1.0	OBJECTIVE	1
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF THE CCR UNIT	1
3.0	DESCRIPTION OF THE POST-CLOSURE PLAN - 257.104(d)(1)(i)	1
4.0	POST-CLOSURE CONTACT - 257.104(d)(1)(ii)	4
5.0	POST-CLOSURE PLANNED USE - 257.104(d)(1)(iii)	4

#### **Attachments**

Attachment A – Post-closure Plan submitted to Indiana Department of Environmental Management

#### 1.0 OBJECTIVE

This report has been prepared to fulfill the requirements of 40 CFR 257.102(b) of the Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule to develop a Post-closure Plan for the Clifty Creek CCR Landfill.

#### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE CCR UNIT

The Clifty Creek Station is located on the north bank of the Ohio River near Madison, Indiana, and consists of six coal-fired electric generating units; each nominally rated at 217 megawatts, that began producing electricity in 1955 to support the Department of Energy's (DOE's) Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant located near Piketon, Ohio. The Clifty Creek CCR Landfill is located approximately one mile west of the Station building.

The landfill is owned and operated by the Indian-Kentucky Electric Corporation, and has been permitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management as a Type I Restricted Waste Landfill, Permit No. FP 39-04, to accept CCR generated by the Clifty Creek Station. The landfill's leachate discharge is managed under the site's NPDES permit. The landfill facility is comprised of 109 acres of disposal area, with a capacity of approximately 13 million cubic yards, which will be constructed in four phases.

#### 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE POST-CLOSURE PLAN 257.102(b)(1)(i)

[A description of the monitoring and maintenance activities required in paragraph (b) of this section for the <u>CCR unit, and the frequency at which these activities will be performed</u>]

#### 3.1 Section 257.104(b)(1)

[Maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover system including making repairs to the final cover as necessary to correct the effects of the settlement, subsidence, erosion, or other events and preventing run-on and run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover;]

Inspections are performed for the items noted below. The inspection frequencies are scheduled to properly detect any issues so that repairs can be performed before significant damage or degradation of the final cover system occurs.

- <u>Embankment</u>: The entire embankment, including top surface and side-slopes, will be inspected for slides, settlement, subsidence, displacement, and cover condition.
- <u>Soil Dike</u>: The soil dike will be inspected for slides, displacement, seepage, and erosion.
- <u>Cover</u>: The final cover will be inspected for erosion and for the condition of the vegetated cover, i.e., gaps in vegetation or presence of undesirable trees or brush. The integrity of the cover drainage system will also be inspected.

- <u>Final Cover Surface</u>: The Final Cover surface will be inspected for any ponding of water. Due to the design contours required to achieve the final cap grade, special attention will be focused to ensure that no settlement, subsidence, erosion, depressions or flat areas exist and that no water is allowed to pond above the cap system.
- <u>Surface Drainage System</u>: The surface drainage system, including channels, culverts, slope drains, etc., will be inspected for erosion, integrity of channel lining, ponding, and accumulated sediment.
- Leachate Collection Piping: The discharge pipes of the Leachate collection System at the Leachate pond will be inspected for clogging or damage routinely. Other exposed portions of the Leachate Collection System, including cleanouts, will be inspected for damage and repaired as necessary. Additionally, the Leachate Collection Pond will be inspected for general damage to the pond and perimeter berms, with repairs made as necessary. The Leachate Collection pond will also be inspected for the accumulation of sediment and managed as necessary.

Maintenance during the post-closure care period will be performed as discussed below, based upon the facility inspections described above.

- <u>Erosion Damage Repair</u>: Any areas exhibiting erosion will be repaired by replacing and compacting the material in-kind to design grade/specifications, and reseeding the area to the specifications. Applications of additional fertilizer, selective herbicides, rodent control measures, etc. will be implemented as necessary. The selection of fertilizers and herbicides will be made in manner to ensure their use will not impact the groundwater negatively. Follow-up monitoring of the repaired area will be conducted to ascertain the integrity of the repair.
- <u>Security Control devices</u>: Any portions of the security control devices installed (i.e., fencing, roadway barricades, etc...) will be inspected and repaired as necessary.
- <u>Settlement, Subsidence, Displacement</u>: Any areas at the closed site exhibiting evidence of settlement, subsidence, or displacement will be examined to determine the cause of the movement. If backfilling or placing additional fill material is needed to maintain the integrity of the closed structure, it will be performed in accordance with the site/closure specifications, including seeding. If the condition reoccurs or persists, or if the severity of the condition is judged to warrant it, a detailed investigation of the cause will be performed and remedial action will be taken. Similarly, any areas of the soil dike

exhibiting sliding, displacement, or seepage will be investigated and repairs will be made as necessary. Follow-up monitoring of the area will be performed to ascertain that the problem has been corrected.

- <u>Closure Cap Surface</u>: Any areas that show signs of ponding water on flat contours will be examined and rectified. Due to the design contours required to achieve the final cap grade, special attention will be focused on the cap surface to ensure that any areas that hold water are re-graded to promote drainage, re-seeded to promote vegetative growth, and maintained to ensure that the ponding of water does not persist.
- <u>Surface Water Drainage System</u>: The channel linings are designed and will be constructed to withstand the design velocities. Maintenance of the surface water drainage system will consist of removing sediment and/or undesirable vegetation from the surface water runoff control system (channels and culverts) as required. Eroded areas will be repaired by back-filling and reseeding according to the specifications. Damage to culverts and structures will result in repair or replacement as needed. The Clifty Creek Landfill will be closed periodically throughout the life of the landfill as sub phases and phases reach their final waste placement grades. Once final waste grades are achieved, the landfill surface will be covered with a minimum two-foot thick compacted soil layer with a permeability of no greater than 1x10<sup>-5</sup> cm/sec, and a soil layer that is six inches thick to support native plant growth.
- Leachate Collection System: Maintenance of the leachate collection System will consist of repairing and/or replacing any damaged or eroded portions of the system and pond, cleaning of the piping, and removing leachate and sediment from the collection pond as needed.

#### 3.2 SECTION 257.104(b)(3)

# [Maintaining the groundwater monitoring system and monitoring the groundwater in accordance with the requirements of §§257.90 through 257.98.]

The groundwater monitoring system will be inspected for the general integrity of the wells, well casings and well protective casings. Any damaged portions of the monitoring wells and/or their protective casings will be replaced or repaired.

Monitoring the groundwater will be in accordance with the groundwater monitoring plan for this facility and in accordance with the requirements of §§257.90 through 257.98.

#### 4.0 POST-CLOSURE CONTACT 257.104 (d)(1)(ii)

# [The name, address, telephone number and email address of the person or office to contact about the facility during the post-closure care period.]

The name, address, telephone number, and email address of the person to contact about the facility during the post-closure period will be provided upon notification of closure.

#### 5.0 POST-CLOSURE PLANNED USE 257.104 (d)(1)(iii)

[A description of the planned uses of the property during the post-closure period. Post-closure use of the property shall not disturb the integrity of the final cover, liner(s), or any other component of the containment system, or the function of the monitoring systems unless necessary to comply with the requirements in this subpart...]

The post-closure use of the property will be undisturbed vacant land space. The only activities occurring on the closed CCR unit will be related to the Post-Closure care activities. All other activities will be prohibited.

Attachment A

#### Attachment 18 Post Closure Plan Coal Ash Landfill Type I Restricted Waste Landfill Clifty Creek Power Plant Madison, Jefferson County, Indiana

Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation (IKEC) will implement a post-closure plan upon completion of landfilling activities. Post-closure activities will be continued for a period of thirty years after closure.

#### **Post Closure Activities**

#### **Ground Water Monitoring Activities**

The approved ground water monitoring plan at the facility will be continued throughout the post-closure period to assess ground water quality. Ground water monitoring will be performed in accordance with approved monitoring plan.

#### **Planned Maintenance Activities**

Upon completion, the facility will be inspected twice per year for evidence of erosion, stressed vegetation, cracking, sloughing, and adequate drainage (ponding water) with a written report on the condition of the facility submitted to IDEM. The inspection will document the condition of site features and maintenance activities related to the final cover and vegetative growth. Control of vegetative growth on vehicular access ways to monitoring wells will be maintained. Any such areas noted will be repaired as necessary to maintain the integrity of the final cover and overall drainage control systems. Vegetated areas will be mowed periodically as needed.

#### **Responsible Contact**

Contact information for the entity responsible for maintaining the site after closure whom IDEM may contact about the facility during the post-closure period is provided below:

Ray Wilson Plant Manager Indiana-Kentucky Electric Corporation Clifty Creek Power Plant Madison, Indiana

#### Post-Closure Cost Opinion

At the conclusion of the thirty year post-closure monitoring period, AEP will submit a certification the closure and post-closure activities at the facility have been completed in accordance with the approved closure plan and post-closure plan and that the site is in conformance with the terms of Title 329 IAC 10. A summary of the post-closure cost opinion in year 2006 dollars is presented in the following table. The detailed cost opinion derivation is presented in Appendix A.